



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/893,160 | 06/27/2001 | Gerald Friese | 00 P 7563 US 01 (8055-83 | 4594 | | |
| 75 | 90 12/04/2002 | | | • | | |
| Frank Chau F. Chau & Associates, LLP 1900 Hempstead Tumpike Suite 501 | | | EXAMINER | | | |
| | | | POWELL, WILLIAM A | | | |
| East Meadow, N | NY 11554 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1765 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002 | b | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | · | | . • | 0426 |
|---|---|--|--|------------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | - | To y |
| Office Action Summary | 09/893,160 | F-4,6 | se | · |
| omeo Action Gammary | Examiner Power | - 11 | Group Art Unit | |
| | | | 1765 | <u> </u> |
| —The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet be | eneath the co | rrespondence a | ddress |
| Period for Response | | | | • |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | T TO EXPIRE | MONTH | (S) FROM THE | |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defaul Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by | response within the statutor | y minimum of thi | rty (30) days will be date of this commun | considered timel |
| Status | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on June 27, | Sept. 24, | 2001 | | |
| ☐ This action is FINAL. | | | • | |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C | r formal matters, prose C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. | cution as to ti | ne merits is clos | sed in |
| Disposition of Claims | | • | | |
| 1-23 | is/are pe | nding in the appl | lication. | |
| □ Clalm(s) | is/are wit | hdrawn from cor | nsideration. | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | is/are all | is/are allowed. | | |
| ☑ Clalm(s) 1–23 | · | is/are rej | ected. | |
| □ Claim(s) | | is/are ob | | |
| □ Claim(s) | | are subject to restriction or election | | |
| pplication Papers | | requirem | ent. | |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R | eview, PTO-948. | | | • |
| The proposed drawing exercetion, filed on $06/27/01$ | | disapproved. | | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected | to by the Examiner. | | | |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | • | |
| riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) | • | | | |
| □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. | | | | · |
| ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_ | | | • | |
| \square received in this national stage application from the Interna | tional Bureau (PCT Ru | le 1 7.2(a)). | | |
| *Certified copies not received: | | | • ; · | |
| tachment(s) | | | | |
| ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) | 🗆 Inte | rview Summaı | y, PTO-413 | |
| Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 | □Not | ice of Informal | Patent Application | on, PTO-152 |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 | □ Oth | er | • | |
| Office Ac | tion Summary | | ٠ | |

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1765

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

- 2. It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration in a continuation-in-part application filed under the conditions specified in 35 U.S.C. 120 which discloses and claims subject matter in addition to that disclosed in the prior copending application, acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.
- 3. No reference is made to application 09/543,691 filed 04/05/2000 of which this application is a C.I.P. thereof.
- 4. Claims 1-18 is rejected as being based upon a defective Oath or Declaration for the reasons set forth above.
- 5. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear from the claims (independent) what is considered to be "the first metal layer" as recited in claims 2 and 11. There is no clean antecedent basis in the claims therefore.

This should be connected. This is also applicable to "the metal line" recited in claim 4.

- 6. Galloway has been cited to show the state of the art.
- 7. No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,160

Art Unit: 1765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Powell whose telephone number is (703) 308-1975.

W. Powell/mn November 26, 2002 WILLIAM A. POWELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER